

Dear Lt. Corey Culler

How saddened I was to receive your letter and find you continue to dismiss these actions of your employees. There is no mention in your letter in regards to speaking with Mr. Repass and asking him to look you in the eye and lie to you about the video and the attack. You have not done this. Why?

The easiest way to resolve these concerns is direct communication with adult conversation. Looking into our eyes, speaking the truth, and you seem to not want that. Why?

Immediate action is necessary as this continues to drag on and nothing being done about it.

What you have mentioned to me is that you watched the same tainted video as everyone else. Simply agreeing what you see is true. It is not, and you seem scared to find out the truth. Why?

A meeting across the table is overdue. Answers to these and other questions deserve enlightenment. The truth be told once and for all. All people involved should have an interest in this. Don't you?

Your internal review was lackluster at best. The answer given is unacceptable. My civil rights were violated, by your police officer, and the video tainted, by your police officer. Let's go to the source and have an adult conversation about this, to move toward resolution. The video is a fake. Period.

How has the narrative been considered false and unfounded. What action was taken to address my questions? Who did the math to determine the officer did not lie, but said it was unfounded? Who else works at the Indiana State Police office that can also see through buildings to catch criminals?

I mean no disrespect sir. I would much rather do other things. This was brought on to me by your corrupt police and I cannot sit idle as people and their civil rights are violated. I have done the math and it does not add up. I believe that Mr. Repass does not posses the capability to see through buildings. I'm looking for a few good men who could agree with those statements. Let the process begin.

Can you pick a time and day that we can meet to discuss this further and in depth as your review team is missing critical issues.

Your review is in fact inaccurate, bias, incomplete.

I hope to speak soon, face to face, to resolve this as quick as possible. Your legal division could also be invited to help answer your questions.

